CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

50-517/S-038

MICROBIOLOGY REVIEW

Division of Anti-Infective Drug Products (HFD-520) Clinical Microbiological Review

NDA #50-517/SLR-038 DATE COMPLETED: 11/24/97

or

APPLICANT:

Merck Research Laboratories Sumneytown Pike West Point, PA 19486

CONTACT PERSONS:

Henrietta N. Ukwu, M.D. Merck Research Pike Director, Regulatory Liaison Regulatory Affairs, Tel: (610)--397-7176 Bonnie J. Goldmann, M.D.

Merck Research Pike

Executive Director
Regulatory Affairs

Tel: (610)--397-2383

SUBMISSION REVIEWED: SUPPLEMENT PROVIDING FOR:

Revised draft package insert labeling (#7882333, Issued February 1995) including updated draft labeling on the CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY -- Microbiology and Susceptibility Tests subsections, INDICATIONS AND USAGE section, and REFERENCE section, respectively, on the drug product.

PRODUCT NAMES (S):

Proprietary:

MEFOXIN®

Non-Proprietary/USAN:

cefoxitin sodium

Code Name:

N/A

CAS No.:

CAS-33564-30-6; CAS-35607-66-0

CHEMICAL NAME, STRUCTURE, MOLECULAR FORMULA, MOL. W.T.:

Cefoxitin sodium:

Chemical Name/Structure =

See 1996 USAN (Page 137)

Molecular Formula

 $= C_{16}H_{16}N_3NaO_7S_2$

Molecular Weight

= 449.44

DOSAGE FORM:

Sterile dried powder stored in either a Vial, Infusion Bottle, ADD-Vantage Vial, or Pharmacy Bulk Vial. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION: Intravenous/Intramuscular

STRENGTH: Cefoxitin sodium equivalent to

either 1 g, 2 g, and 10 grams of

cefoxitin per container.

PHARMACOLOGICAL CATEGORY:

Cephalosporin (CEPHA Antibiotic Drug)

DISPENSED: X Rx OTC

INITIAL SUPPLEMENT SUBMISSION: 4/15/96

AMENDMENT (S): N/A

PATENT: N/A

RELATED DOCUMENTS:

50-517/S-035, Agency's "approvable" letter, filed in Vol. 21.1, and dated 4/18/95.

NDA 50-517/S-028, NDA 50-581/S-015, 50-581/S-016, Agency's "approvable" letter, filed in Vol. 21.1, and dated 8/11/95.

NDA 50-517/S-028, NDA 50-581/S-015, 50-581/S-016, Agency's "approval" letter, filed in Vol. 23.1, and dated 7/30/96.

NDA 50-581, Merck Sharp Dohme, MEFOXIN in Dextrose 5% in single dose GALAXY® Plastic Container (PL 2040) containing either 1 g or 2 g cefoxitin, Approved 9/20/84.

AADA 63-182, Merck, MEFOXIN in Plastic Container containing either 1 g or 2 g cefoxitin, Approved 1/25/93.

COMMENTS:

Original NDA 50-517, MEFOXIN®, cefoxitin sodium equivalent to 1 g, and 2 grams base per vial, respectively. Approved on 1/08/87.

In this submission the applicant has revised and provided draft labeling on the CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY -- Microbiology subsection according to the NDA Holders Letter, dated January 26, 1993.

This drug is the subject of the compendial monographs, 21 CFR §442.14a (Sterile cefoxitin sodium), 21 CFR §442.214a (Sterile cefoxitin sodium), and 23 USP (Sterile Cefoxitin Sodium) on pages 304 & 305 respectively.

CONCLUSIONS:

From the microbiological perspective, an "approval" letter should be issued to Merck & Co., Inc., Merck Research Laboratories after negotiation of the revised draft labeling (#7882333), issued February 1995 on the microbiology portion which includes all the Agency's recommendations on the labeling as indicated in PACKAGE INSERT -- CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY -- Microbiology subsection, Susceptibility Tests subsection, and the REFERENCES section, on pages 51 to 58, and page 59, respectively.

PAGE 4 OF 59

CLINICAL MICROBIOLOGICAL REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page(s)
INTRODUCTION	5
PACKAGE INSERT	5
I. CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY section.	6
Microbiology subsection(s).	6,7,11
In Vitro Information	20
In Vitro Literature References	29
Susceptibility Tests subsection(s).	37,39,44
Diffusion Techniques	37,39,47
Dilution Techniques	38,41,44
Anaerobic Techniques	,42,49
II. REFERENCES (Package Insert) section(s).	38,43,50
III. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS	51
CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY section.	51
Microbiology subsection.	51
Susceptibility Tests subsection(s).	54
Dilution Techniques	54
Diffusion Techniques	56
Anaerobic Techniques	58
REFERENCES (Package Insert) section.	59

INTRODUCTION

This supplement, dated 4/15/96, is the applicant's response to the Agency's NDA Holders letter for microbiological labeling information, dated 1/26/93, on the drug product's labeling found in the Package Insert.

Note to Reader:

- 1. Applicant's most recent revision = Within the strikeout = strikeout (e.g, cefoxitin)
- . 2. Microbiologist's revisions = within shaded area = reduction (e.g., referrition)

PACKAGE INSERT

(#7882333, Issued February 1995)

The labeling on the CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY - Microbiology and Susceptibility Tests subsections, and the REFERENCES section should be revised in accordance with the January 26, 1993, letter to all NDA Holders from the Division of Anti-infective Drug Products, as well as recent discussions within the Division and with the applicant. Therefore, the CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY - Microbiology and Susceptibility Tests subsections and REFERENCES section of the labeling should read as follows:

WITHHOLD 5 PAGE(S)

3. <u>Clinical Microbiology Reviewer's Recommendations:</u>

Microbiology

The bactericidal action of cefoxitin results from inhibition of cell wall synthesis. Cefoxitin has in vitro activity against a wide range of gram-positive and gram-negative organisms. The methoxy group in the 7α position provides cefoxitin with a high degree of stability in the presence of beta-lactamases, both penicillinases and cephalosporinases, of gram-negative bacteria.

Cefoxitin has been shown to be active against most strains of the following microorganisms, both *in vitro* and in clinical infections as described in the **INDICATIONS AND USAGE** section.

Aerobic gram-positive microorganisms

Staphylococcus aureus (including penicillinase-producing strains)
Staphylococcus epidermidis Streptococcus agalactiae
Streptococcus pneumoniae Streptococcus pyogenes
The Beta-hemolytic and other streptococci (most strains of enterococci, e.g, Enterococcus faecalis, are resistant)

Staphylogocci resistant to methicillin/oxacillin/should be considered resistant to defoxitin.

PAGE 12 OF 59

Beta-hemolytic microorganisms are not mentioned, as so, in the I.& U section and "streptococci" should be speciated. Therefore, it is recommended that the labeling statement be revised to read as follows:

"Most strains of enterococci, e.g. Enterococcus faecalis, are resistant."

Aerobic gram-negative microorganisms

Escherichia coli

Haemophilus influenzae

Klebsiella spp. (including K. pneumoniae)

Morganella morganii

Neisseria gonorrhoeae (including penicillinase-producing strains)

Proteus mirabilis

Proteus vulgaris

Providencia spp. [including Providencia rettgeri]

Anaerobic gram-positive microorganisms

Clostridium spp.

Peptococcus niger

Peptostreptococcus spp.

Anaerobic gram-negative microorganisms

Bacteroides distasonis

Bacteroides fragilis

Bacteroides ovatus

Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron

Bacteroides spp.

It is recommended that the following labeling statement "MBFOXIN" is inactive in vitro against most strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and enterococci and many strains of Enterobacter cloacae." be revised and moved from List #1 and be placed at the end of the "in vitro" list (List #2) to read as follows:

Cefoxitin is inactive in vitro against most strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and enterococci and many strains of Enterobacter cloacae.

Microbiologist's Recommendations and Comments on the Microorganisms and Labeling in List #1:

1. Revise the following labeling statement:

"Staphylococcus aureus, including penicillinase- and non-penicillinase-producing strains" to read as follows:

Staphylococcus aureus (including penicillinase-producing strains)

To be consistent in the labeling, it is recommended that the Medical Officer also make the aforementioned labeling revision, where appropriate, under the "INDICATIONS AND USAGE section.

2. The following labeling statement "Methicillin-resistant staphylococci are almost uniformly resistant to MEFOXIN" should be revised, appropriately placed, and recommended to read as follows:

"Staphylococci resistant to methicillin/oxacillin should be considered resistant to cefoxitin." in reference to both Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis.

3.

4. In the following labeling statement "Beta-hemolytic and other streptococci (most strains of enterococci, e.g, Enterococcus faecalis, are resistant)":

"Beta-hemolytic" microorganisms are not mentioned, as so, in the **I & U** section and "streptococci" is a "catchall" term. Therefore, it is recommended that the labeling statement be revised to read as follows:

"Most strains of enterococci, e.g, Enterococcus faecalis, are resistant."

MEFOXIN® (STERILE CEFOXITIN SODIUM)

5. Revise the following labeling statement: "Neisseria gonorrhoeae, including penicillinase- and non-penicillinase-producing strains" to read as follows:

"Neisseria gonorrhoeae, (including penicillinase-producing strains)"

To be consistent in the labeling, it is recommended that the Medical Officer also make the aforementioned labeling revision, where appropriate, under the "INDICATIONS AND USAGE" section.

- 6. Peptococcus species should be revised and currently read as follows: Peptococcus niger
- 7. The following labeling statement: "MEFOXIN is inactive in vitro against most strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and enterococci and many strains of Enterobacter cloacae." should be revised and read as follows:

"Cefoxitin is inactive in vitro against most strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and enterococci and many strains of Enterobacter cloacae." The labeling statement should be moved from List #1 and be placed at the end of the "in vitro only" list (List #2).

The following in vitro data are available, but their clinical significance is unknown.

Cefoxitin exhibits in vitro minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC's) of 8 μ g/mL or less for aerobic microorganisms and 16 μ g/mL or less for anaerobic microorganisms against most (>90%) strains of the following microorganisms; however, the safety and effectiveness of cefoxitin in treating clinical infections due to these microorganisms have not been established in adequate and well-controlled clinical trials.

Potential Pathogen in Approved Infection* or Unknown (?)



PAGE 16 OF 59

PAGE 17 OF 59

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

DELETION FOOTNOTE REFERENCES (Explanation)

Although the applicant provided extensive literature to support the inclusion of genera and species in List #2 (in vitro), the data reviewed and extracted from the literature provided only simple tabulations which described only the geometric mean MIC₉₀ values calculated from the literature. The tables reflect only one algorithm of the many that are to be used as described in the NDA Holders letter.

Thus, the applicant is required to present the following information justifying the inclusion of the microorganisms in List #2 (in vitro):

- Scientific evidence should be provided that a microorganism is a pathogen for an approved indication(s) and not anecdotal in nature. Microorganisms associated with severe diseases such as meningitis, TB, brucellosis, leprosy may be included only if specific indications are granted (approved) for these diseases (List #1). Appropriate references, such as the FDA/IDSA guidelines, the FDA Evaluability guidelines and the published literature, should be used to support inclusion in the second list. The number of clinical cases that are available in the published literature and the PK/PD of the drug for the specific site of infection should be taken into consideration when recommending a pathogen for inclusion in the second list. The approved indication(s) along with the causative microorganism(s) (genera and species) should be both identified.
- 2. At least 90% of the strains tested for each species should be susceptible as defined by the established breakpoint for cefoxitin (at 8 μ g/mL or less of cefoxitin for aerobic microorganisms and 16 μ g/mL or less of cefoxitin for anaerobic microorganisms), and at least 100 fresh or recent clinical isolates derived from different geographic regions of the United States have been tested and submitted for evaluation.

Since cefoxitin s an approved antiinfective, then the sponsor should provide relevant surveillance data that spans a period of no less than three years and published data that spans a period of no less than 5 years from the date of submission of the document to support inclusion in the second list. For surveillance data, the sponsor should provide the name of the organization conducting the studies, their capabilities, SOPs, and the geographic origin of the database. We would encourage the establishment of a Drug Master File (DMF) for these surveillance facilities. Literature from refereed journals should provide the origin of the data (geographic region , reference lab), test methods used, and methodology quality control to assure the confidence in the data. Publications submitted should provide an overview of MIC ranges, MIC₅₀, and MIC₉₀. NO foreign data will be accepted for antiinfectives marketed in the US.

3. Currently, we discourage the use of "species" as a method for listing microorganism names in the package insert. Therefore, in order to include Capnocytophaga spp., Salmonella spp., Shigella spp., Mobiluncus spp., Eubacterium spp., and Veillonella spp. in the "in vitro" list (List \sharp 2), the following information must be provided: 1) Documentation that the microorganisms are implicated or recognized as pathogens for the approved indication(s) and 2) that all "species" in that genera are equally susceptible (at 8 μ g/mL or less of cefoxitin for aerobic microorganisms and 16 μ g/mL or less of cefoxitin for anaerobic microorganisms). For guidance the applicant should refer to the Agency's NDA Holders Letter, dated 1/26/93, including recent recommendations by the Division of Anti-Infective Drug Products (HFD-520).

MEFOXIN® (STERILE CEFOXITIN SODIUM)

The following are in vitro tabulation susceptibility data, which this Microbiologist's extracted from the literature articles, submitted by the applicant to support the aforementioned requested microorganisms to be included in the "in vitro" list (List #2):

1. <u>Aerobic Gram-Positive Microorganisms</u>:

<pre>Microorganism (# Org) (Lit Publ)</pre>	Country	<u>Strains</u>	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Ref.
Staphylococcus sap	rophyticus				
(67) (1983)	Canada	10	2	2	13
(1982)	USA	32	8	16	49
(1987) United	Kingdom	25	1	2	60

2. <u>Aerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms</u>:

		No.			
<pre>Microorganism (# Org) (Lit Publ)</pre>	Country	<u>Strains</u>	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	<u>Ref.</u>
Aeromonas veronii k	oiovar <i>sob</i>	ria			
(23) (1990)		23	1	2	11
Alcaligenes faecals	is spp. fac	ecalis			
	USA	34	4	8	8
Bordetella pertuss:	is				
(60) (1984)		60	1.1	2.1	. 4a
Capnocytophaga spp.	_				
(96) (1987)		96	0.5	2	4
Citrobacter divers	us				
(69) (1988)	WI, USA	10	8	32	19
(1989)	CT, USA	9	4	8	30
(1993) MO/IW/IN/O	R/KA, USA	50	≤2	8	44

<u>Aerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms (con't)</u>:

	organism (Lit Publ)	Countr		o. <u>ains</u>	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	<u>Ref.</u>
Eikene	lla corrodens	lnon-B	-lactam	ase nr	oducersi	1	
	(1986)		A	_		1	15
(200)	(1980)	•				0.5	29
•	,						
	rella vaginal						
(131)	(1986) (1993) CA	LA, US	A		≤2.5		3
	(1993) CA	/WA, US	A	15	0.25	2	28
	(1993) Sout	h Afric	a	93	1	1	38
77							
_	hilus ducreyi		_ 1	0.2	1 0	2 0	7
(103)	(1982) Sout	II ALLIC	a 1	.03	1.0	2.0	7
Helicol	bacter pylori	,					
	(1985)United		m	70	0.12	0.12	41
, ,	(, ,	3					
Klebsi	ella oxytoca						
	(1988)	WI, US	A	10	8	32	19
	(1989)		A	32	4	8	30
	(1994)	WI, US	A 7	757	≤2	8	43
(1993) MO/IW/IN/OR	R/KA, US	Α	50	≤2	4	44
	(1992) Saud	li Arabi	a	31	2	16	50
_	ella spp.						
(93)		- Refe	rences	missin	ıg –	18/52	2/58
	lla catarrhal		75	1 4	0 105	0 105	20
	(1989)	•				0.125	
1993) MO/IW/IN/OF	K/KA, US	A		·) ≤2		
	(1993))-1) ≤2		44
	(1993)			13 (BRC)-2) ≤2	≤2	44
Neisse	ria meningiti	idis					
	(1985)United		m	16	0.125	0.218	21
	981) OR/IL/K			25	≤0.12		23
, -		-,,	·= •				
Plesio	monas shigeli	loides					
	_	E/CA, US	SA	29	2	4	12
•		anada		72	≤4	≤4	37

<u>Aerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms (con't)</u>:

	organism (Lit Publ)	Country	No. <u>Strains</u>	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Ref.
	s penneri (1984) (1993) North				2 sing -	24 53
	encia alcalif (1989) (1987)		20 61	2 1.56	2 3.56	30 48
(100)	ella spp. (1985) United MO/IW/IN/OR		50 50	1.50	3.56 4	21 24
	(1987)	-	36 (= S. boyd	ii)	2	20
((1985) United (1989)	_		2	12.70 4	21 30
(1993)	MO/IW/IN/OR	/KA, USA		e1) ≤2	4	44
	ia enterocoli (1989)	tica USA	32	8	16	30
	ia pseudotube (1982)		13	0.5	1	5

3. Anaerobic Gram-Positive Microorganisms:

Microo	rganisı	<u>n Cou</u>	<u>ntry</u>	No. <u>Strain</u>	<u>s M</u>	IC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Ref.
(# Org)	(Lit Pu	ıbl)						
Clostri	dium pe	erfringens						
	_	Austr		44			2	14
\ -\-		WI,		27		1	2	19
	•	CA,		12		2		22
		CA/PA/WA,		12		0.125	2	28
		/IN/OR/KA,		12		2	16	44
		•		(= Mix	ed)			
	(1993)	Swe	den	30		0.5	1.0	45
	(1993)	North Ame	rica	88		0.5	0.5	53
	(1994)	PA/OH,	USA	20		0.25	1	54
	(1988)	CA,	USA	27			4	59
Mobilur	cus spi	0.						
		CA/PA/WA,	USA	16		0.5	1	28
, ,	(1987)	WI,	USA	12		2	4	55
		•		M. curti	sii			
	(1987)	WI,	USA	10		0.25	0.5	55
	,	·	(=	M. mulie	eris;			
Propion	nibacte.	rium acnes						
-		Austr		72			0.25	14
, ,		Swe				0.125	0.25	
	•	North Ame						53
		PA/OH,				0.25		54
Propio	nibacte	rium spp.						
		CA/PA/WA,	USA	19	≤	0.25	1	28
		acnes = 1						
		Fr			-•			
		acnes = 2						

4. Anaerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms:

		No.			
<u>Microorganism</u>	Country	<u>Strains</u>	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Ref.
(# Org)(Lit Publ)					
Dantawaidan amilla					
Bacteroides capillos (29) (1994)		15	2	8	1
(1993)	MT HEA	10	2		31
(Prevotella melanin					
2, B . oralis = 2 , B					
(1992)					
(1992)	EON/ ODA	T4 (DI)	, , ,	10	30
Bacteroides splanch	nicus				
(11) (1993) North		11	0.5	1	53
(II) (IJJJ) NOICH I	micrican		0.0	-	55
Bacteroides uniform	is				
(149) (1994)	LA, USA	13	4	64	1
(1992)	Canada	12	8	64	10
(1988)	WI, USA			32	19
(1986)	DE, USA	13	16	32	25
(1988)			8	64	26
(1993) CA/PA	/WA, USA	12		32	28
(1993)	WI, USA	12	2	8	31
(Prevotella melanin					
2, B . oralis = 2 , B	. capillo				
(1992)	USA	26 (BL-	+) 8	16	36
		Informat:			45
(1991)		·			46
(1991)		3 (BL			
(1993) North	America	24	16	32	53
Bacteroides ureolyt	icus				
(17) (1992)		17	4	32	36

Anaerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms (con't):

		No.			
Microorganism	Country	<u>Strains</u>	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Ref.
(# Org) (Lit Publ)					
Bacteroides vulgat					
(279) (1994)	· ·	33	4	64	1
(1986)	CANADA	21	4	16	9
(1988)		35	8	32	19
(1986)		19	4	16	25
(1988)	CA/USA	29	8	16	26
(1993) CA/P		10	8	64	28
(1992) (1987)	USA	58 (BL+		32	36
(1987)	Italy	13		4	42
(1993) P			+)16		47
(1993) North			-	32	53
(1994) F	PA/OH, USA	10 (10B	L+)16	32	54
Bacteroides spp.			_	_	
(112) (1988)	WI, USA	11	4	8	19
(112) (1988) (1985) Unite (1981) OR/IL/K	d Kingdom	67	3.79	13.63	21
(1981) OR/IL/K	A/CA, USA	18	2	8	23
(B. melaninog			les spp	\cdot NOS =	15)
	France			32	
(B. asaccharolytic		bivius = 5	B.m	ultiacid	us = 2
B. ruminicola = 7	")				
Bilophila wadswort					
(56) (1992)	CA, USA	56	4	4	56
Eubacterium spp.			_		
(30) (1994)			8		
(E. lentum =					
(1988)	WI, USA	12	4	8	19
Fusobacterium mort		4.0	•		
(54) (1991)	CA, USA		8	16	22
		(varium g			
(1992)		21	4	32	36
(1993) Nort	ch America	21	2	8	53

Anaerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms (con't):

Microord (# Org) (1	g <u>anism</u> Lit Publ)	Country	No. <u>Strain</u>	s MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Ref.
Fusobact	erium necr	ophorum				
	1988)	_	- Infor	mation mis	sing -	19
	1993) P					47
(:	1993) Nort	h America	16	0.06	0.25	53
(:	1994) P	A/OH, USA	14 (1BL+)2	4	54
Fusobact	erium nucl	eatum				
(104) (1	1991)	CA, USA	12	2	2	22
(1992)	EUR/USA		1	16	36
(1993)	Sweden	40	0.5	0.5	45
(F	. nucleatu	m = 35, F	. mortife	rum = 3, H	r. varium	= 2)
(1993) P	A/OH, USA	. 11 (6BL+) 0.125	32.0	47
(1	993) North	American	13	0.06	1	53
(993) North 1994) P	A/OH, USA	11 (3BL+)1	2	54
Fusobact	erium spp.					
(111) (1988)	Australia	18		32	14
(1988)	WI, USA	10	2	8	19
	1991)				8	22
(1993) CA/P	A/WA, USA	21	0.25	2	28
	(F.	gonidiaf	ormans =	1, F. mort	:iferum =	6,
	F.	necropho	rum = 10,	F. nuclea	atum = 4)	
-	1991)	•				34
(1988)	France	14	0.12	2	40
	(F.	necropho	rum = 5,	F. nucleat	tum = 9	
Porphyro	monas asad	charolyti	ca .			
	rmerly Bac			lyticus)		
(29) (1985)	NC, USA	. 9	0.125	0.25	
(1991)	Sweden	5 (ML+) 0.5	1.0	
(1991)	Sweden	5 (ML+; 0.5 BL-; 0.125	0.5	
(1993) E	PA/OH, USA	. 10(8BL)0.25	0.25	47

<u>Anaerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms (con't)</u>:

				No.			
Microo	rganism	a <u>C</u> e	ountry		ns MI	C ₅₀ MIC	Ref.
(# Org)							
_							•
Prevote.	lla biv	<i>ia</i> (for	merly .	Bacteroid			
		L		15	2	8	
				11	≤0	.25 32	
	•		•	10			
				16			34
	(1992)	E	UR/USA	107	$(BL+) \le 0$.5 8	
(1993)	MO/IW			25			
			(P	. bivia,	P. dis	siens)	
		S					. 45
(Porphy	romonas	s asacch	arolyt	ica = 5,	Prevot	ella biv	via = 13,
P. dis	iens =	12, P.	interm	edius = :	5, P. n	nelaninog	genica = 6,
		a = 9)					
	(1993)	North A	merica	87	C	.25 8	53
	(1994)	PA/O	H, USA	51	(50BL+)	1 16	5 54
Prevote	lla di	siens (f	ormerl	y Bacter		disiens)	
(64)	(1994)	L	A, USA	13	1	. 4	1
	(1992)	E	UR/USA	18	(BL+) 1	. 16	36
	(1991)	S	weden	13	(BL+) 1	. 2	2 46
	(1993)	North A	merica	13 12	C	.25 8	53
	(1994)	PA/O	H, USA	10	(9BL) ().5 2	2 54
Prevote	lla in	termedia	(form	erly <i>Bac</i>	teroide	es intern	nedius)
(47)	(1993)	W	I, USA	5	().125 ().5 31
	(1992)	E	UR/USA	25	(BL+) 2	2 8	36
	(1993)	S	weden	50	().5	L.O 45
(Porphy	romona					tella bi	via = 13,
							enica = 6,
		a = 9			-		•
		PA/O	H, USA	. 12	(BL+) 1	1.0 1	6 47

Anaerobic Gram-Negative Microorganisms (con't):

				No.			
Micro	organis	n Cou	ntry	<u>Strains</u>	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Ref.
) (Lit P		_				
		laninogeni					
				elaninogeni	icus)		
(163)	(1984)	LA,	USA	37	0.5	4	2
	(1988)	WI,	USA	16	0.25	2	19
	(1985)	NC,	USA	9	0.5	4	33
	(1992)	EUR	/USA	31 (BL-	+} 4	16	36
	(1991)	Swe	den	5 (BL-	+; 1.0	4	46
	(1991)	Swe	den	5 (BL-	-) 0.25	1	. 46
	(1993)	PA/OH,	USA	12 (BL-	+; 8	32	47
	(1993)	North Ame	rica	37	2	16	53
	(1994)	PA/OH,	USA	11 (9B)	L+) 2	16	54
Dwarrat	-11	_					
	ella sp		TTC N	20	2	2	22
				. 20			
(P. 1n				inogenica =			
				10 (BL-			
				uccalis = :	1, P. c	orpora =	1,
		icola = 1)					
	(1992)	EUR	k/USA	147 (B	L-)≤0.5	4	36
Veillo	nella s	nn					
		Austr	alia	29		4	14
(33)	•	CA/PA/WA,			1	2	28
	(1222)	CA/FA/WA,	USA	10	1	۷	20

IN VITRO LITERATURE REFERENCES

- 1. Aldridge KE, N Morice, and DD Schiro. "In vitro activity of biapenem (L-627), a new carbapenem, against anaerobes." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1994;38:889-893.
- 2. Aldridge KE, CV Sanders, A. Janney, S. Faro, and RL Marier. "Comparison or the activities of penicillin G and new beta-lactam antibiotics against clinical isolates of *Bacteroides* species." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1984;26:410-413.
- 3. Aldridge KE, DD Schiro, and CV Sanders. "Pefloxacin (RB 1589): An *in vitro* comparison with other oral antimicrobial agents and imipenem." Curr. Ther. Res. 1986;40:1103-1113.
- 4. Arlet G, MJ Sanson-Le Pors, IM Casin, M Ortenberg, and Y Perol. "In vitro susceptibility of 96 Capnocytophaga strains, including a beta-lactamase producer, to new beta-lactam antibiotics and six quinolones."

 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1987;31:1283-1284.
- 4a. Bannatyne RM, and R Cheung. "Susceptibility of Bordetella pertussis to cephalosporin derivatives and imipenem." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1987;26:604-605.
- 5. Benlloch MA, A Torres, and F Soriano. "Cefmetazole (CS-1170): a new cephamycin with activity against gramnegative bacilli and staphylococci. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1982;10:347-350.
- 6. Berkey P, P Miller, V. Fainstein. "In vitro efficacy of 10 antimicrobial agents against 104 strains of anaerobic bacteria." Abstracts of the Annual Meeting ASM. 1988; A-131.
- 7. Bilgeri YR, RC Ballard, MO Duncan, AC Mauff, and HJ Koornhof. "Antimicrobial susceptibility of 103 strains of Haemophilus ducreyi isolated in Johannesburg."

 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1982; 22:686-688.

- 8. Bizet C, F Tekaia, and A Philippon. "In vitro susceptibility of Alcaligenes faecalis compared with those of other Alcaligenes to antimicrobial agents including seven beta-lactam. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1993;32:907-910.
- 9. Bourgault A-M, and F Lamothe. "In vitro activity of amoxycillin and ticarcillin in combination with clavulanic acid compared with that of new beta-lactam agents against species of the Bacteroides fragilis group. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1986;17:593-603.
- 10. Bourgault A-M, and F Lamothe, DJ Hoban, MT Dalton, PC Kibsey, G Harding, JA Smith, DE Low, and H Gilbert. "Survey of Bacteroides fragilis group susceptibility patterns in Canada. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1992;36:343-347.
- 11. Burgos AG Quindos R. Martinez, P Rojo, and R. Cisterna. "In vitro susceptibility of Aeromonas caviae, Aeromonas hydrophila and Aeromonas sobria to fifteen antibacterial agents." Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 1990;9:413-417.
- 12. Clark RB, PD Lister, LA Rotert, and JM Janda. "In vitro susceptibilities of Plesiomonas shigelloides to 24 antibiotics and antibiotic-beta-lactamase-inhibitor combinations." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1990;34:159-160.
- 13. Clarke AM, and SJV Zencov.. "Antibacterial activity of the cephamycin cefotetan: an *in vitro* comparison with other beta-lactam antibiotics." J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1983;11 (Suppl. A) 67-72.
- 14. Collignon PJ, R Munro, and G Morris. "Susceptibility of anaerobic bacteria to antimicrobial agents." Pathology. 1988;20:48-52.
- 15. Decker MD. "Topics in Clinical Microbiology." Infection Control. 1986;7:36-53.

- 16. Digranes J, WL Dibb, and B Ostervold. "In vitro comparation of the activity of five beta-lactam antibiotics and gentamicin against 493 clinical bacterial isolates: A Norwegian study." Curr. Ther. Res. 1984;35:610-617.
- 17. Downes J, and JH Andrew. "Susceptibility of 114 isolates of *Bacteroides fragilis* group to imipenem and eight other antimicrobial agents. *Pathology*. 1988:20:260-263.
- 18. This reference is missing.
- 19. Edmiston CE, Jr., CJ Krepel, JC Clausz, and RE Condon. "Comparative in vitro activity against aerobic and anaerobic surgical isolates. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 1988;9:105-113.
- 20. Eke PI, and VO Rotimi. "In vitro antimicorbial susceptibility of clinical isolates pf pathogenic bacteria to ten antibiotics including phosphomycin."

 Afr. J. Med. Sci. 1987;16:1-8.
- 21. Emmerson AM, PA Lamport, CS Reeves, MJ Bywater, HA Holt, R Wise, J Andrews, and MJ Hall. "The in vitro antibacterial activity of ceftriaxone in comparison with nine antibiotics in comparison with nine antibiotics." Current Medical Research and Opinion. 1985;9:480-493.
- 22. Finegold SM, E Molitoris, D, Reeves, and HM Wexler. "In vitro activity of temafloxacin against anaerobic bacteria: a comparative study." J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1991;28:25-30.
- 23. Fuchs PC, RN Jones, C Thornsberry, AL Barry, ES Gerlach, and HM Sommers. "Cefmenoxime (SCE-1365), a new cephalosporin: in vitro activity, comparison with other antimicrobial agents, beta-lactamase stability, and disk diffusion testing with tentative interpretative criteria." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1981;20:747-759.

- 24. Fuska M, S Krajden, and A Lee. "Susceptibilities of 45 clinical isolates of *Proteus penneri." Antimicrob.*Agents Chemother. 1984;26:419-420.
- 25. Furness, L Steele-Moore, and WJ Holloway. "In vitro activity of five cephalosporins against clinical isolates of Bacteroides." Adv. Ther. 1986;3:68-70.
- 26. Goldstein EJC, and DM Citron. "Annual incidence, epidemiology, and comparative in vitro susceptibilities to cefoxitin, cefotetan, cefmetazole, and ceftizoxime of recent community-acquired isolated of the Bacteroides fragilis group." J. Clin. Microbiol. 1998;26:2361-2366.
- 27. Goldstein EJC, and DM Citron. "Comparative in vitro activity of imipenem and 15 other antimicrobial agents against clinically important aerobic and anaerobic bacteria." Clin. Ther. 1988;10:487-515.
- 28. Goldstein EJC, DM Citron, CE Cherubin, and SL Hillier. "Comparative susceptibility of the Bacteroides fragilis group species and other anaerobic bacteria to meropenem, imipenem, piperacillin, cefoxitin, ampicillin/sulbactam, clindamycin and metronidazole.

 J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1993;31:363-372.
- 29. Goldstein EJC, ME Gombert, and EO Agyare. "Susceptibility of Eikenella corrodens to newer betalactam antibiotics." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1980;18:832-833.
- 30. Gootz T, J Retsema, A Girard, E Hamanaka, M Anderson, and S Sokolowski. "In vitro activity of CP-65,207, a new penem antimicrobial agent, in comparison with those of other agents." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1989:33:1160-1166.
- 31. Grossmeyer TJ, and SG Farmer: "Comparative in vitro activity of cefoxitin vs. cefotetan against clinical isolates of *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* species." Clin. Infect. Dis. 1993;16:353-356.

- 32. Hall WH and BJ Opfer. "Influence of inoculum on comparative susceptibilities of penicillinase-positive and negative Neisseria gonorrhoeae to 31 antimicrobial agents." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1984;26:192-195.
- 33. Hill GB and OH Ayers. "Antimicrobial susceptibilities of anaerobic bacteria isolated from female genital tract infections. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1985;27:324-331.
- 34. Hill GB, O Myers and BQ Everett. "Susceptibilities of anaerobic gram-negative bacilli to thirteen antimicrobial and beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations." J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1991;28:855-867.
- 35. Hoban DJ. "In vitro activity of a new penem FCE 22101.

 J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1989;23(Suppl. C):53-57.
- 36. Jacobs MR, SK Spangler, and PC Appelbaum. "Beta-lactamase production and susceptibility of US and European anaerobic gram-negative bacilli to beta-lactams and other agents." Rev. Current Topic. 1992;11:1081-1093.
- 37. Kain KC, and MT Kelly. "Antimicrobial susceptibility of Plesiomonas shigelloides from patients with diarrhea." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1989;33:1609-1610.
- 38. Kharsany AB, AA Hoosen, and J Van den Ende.
 "Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Gardnerella vaginalis." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1993;37:2733-2735.
- 39. Knapp JS, JA Washington, LJ Doyle, SW Neal, MC Parekh, and RJ Rice. "Persistence of Neisseria gonorrhoeae strains with decreased susceptibilities to ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin in Cleveland, Ohio, from 1992 through 1993." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1994;38:2194-2196.

- 40. Laforest H, M Fourgeaud, H Richet, and PH Lagrange. "Comparative in vitro activities of pristinamycin, its components and other antimicrobial agents against anaerobic bacteria." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1988;32:1094-1096.
- 41. McNulty CA, J Dent, and R Wise. "Susceptibilities of clinical isolates of Campylobacter pyloridis to 11 antimicrobial agents." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1985;28:837-838.
- 42. Menozzi M, P Avanzini, R Lecchini, and C Chezzi. "In vitro antibacterial activity of cefotetan against Bacteroides fragilis group clinical isolates."

 Chemioterapia. 1987;6(Suppl. 2):88-91.
- 43. Murray PR, HF Cantrell, RB Lankford and the *In Vitro* Susceptibility Surveillance Group. "Multicenter evaluation of the *in vitro* activity of piperacillintazobactam compared with 11 beta-lactam antibiotics and ciprofloxacin against more than 42,000 aerobic grampositive and gram-negative bacteria." *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 1994;19:111-120.
- 44. Murray PR, RN Jones, SD Allen, MS Erwin, PC Fuchs, and EH Gerlach. "Multilaboratory evaluation of the *in vitro* activity of 13 beta-lactam antibiotics against 1472 clinical isolates of aerobic and anaerobic bacteria." Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 1993;16:191-203.
- 45. Nord CE, A Lindmark, and I Person. "In vitro activity of the new quinolone Bay y 3118 against anaerobic bacteria." Eur J. Clin. Microb. 1993;12:640-642.
- 46. Nord, CE, M Rylander, and SR Norrby. "Susceptibility of anaerobic bacteria." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1991;35:594-599.

MERCK RESEARCH LABORATORIES MEFOXIN® (STERILE CEFOXITIN SODIUM)

- 47. Pankuch GA, MR Jacobs, and PC Appelbaum.
 "Susceptibilities of 428 gram-negative and positive anaerobic bacteria to Bay y 3118 compared with their susceptibilities to ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, metronidazole, piperacillin, piperacillin-tazobactam and cefoxitin." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.
 1993:37:1649-1654.
- 48. Piccolomini R, L Cellini, N Allocati, A Di Girolamo, G Ravagnan. "Comparative in vitro activities of 13 antimicrobial agents against Morganella-Proteus-Providencia group bacteria from urinary tract infections." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1987;31:1644-1647.
- 49. Price SB, and DJ Flournoy. "Comparison of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns among coagulase-negative staphylococci." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1982;21:436-440.
- 50. Qadri SMH, Y Ueno, JJ Burns, E Almodovar, and N Rabea. "Susceptibility of recent clinical isolates to temafloxacin (A-63004) and other antimicrobial agents." Drugs Exptl. Clin. Res. 1992;18:(8):311-317.
- 51. Rice RJ, and JS Knapp. "Susceptibility of Neisseria gonorrhoeae associated with pelvic inflammatory disease to cefoxitin, ceftriaxone, clindamycin, gentamicin, doxycycline, azithromycin and other antimicrobial agents." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1994;38:1688-1691.
- 52. This reference is missing.
- 53. Sheik W, SH Pitkin, and H Nadler. "Antibacterial activity of meropenem and selected comparative agents against anaerobic bacteria at seven North American centers." Clin. Infect. Dis. 1993;16;S361-366.
- 54. Spangler SK, MR Jacobs, and PC Appelbaum. "Activity of CP 99,219 compared with those of ciprofloxacin, grepafloxacin, metronidazole, cefoxitin, piperacillin and piperacillin-tazobactam against 489 anaerobes."

 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1994; 38:2471-2476.

MERCK RESEARCH LABORATORIES MEFOXIN® (STERILE CEFOXITIN SODIUM)

- 55. Speigel CA. "Susceptibility of *Mobiluncus* spp. to 23 antimicrobial agents and 15 other compounds." *Agents Chemother*. 1987;31:249-252.
- 56. Summanen P, HM Wexler, and SM Finegold. "Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Bilophila wadsworthia* by using triphenyltetrazolium chloride to facilitate endpoint determination." *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 1992;36:1658-1664.
- 57. Testore GP, L Terranova, A Salanitro, P Sordillo, A Foli, F Giacomello and M Andreoni. "In vitro activity of a new antimicrobial drug, trospectomycin sulphate (U-63,366F), against Bacteroides strains isolated from the vagina." Chemotherapy. 1993;39:124-127.
- 58. This reference is missing.
- 59. Wexler HM, and SM Finegold. "In vitro activity of cefotetan compared with that of other antimicrobial agents against anaerobic bacteria." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1988;32:601-604.
- 60. Wise R, JM Andrew, and JLV Piddock. "In vitro activity of CGP 31608, a new penem." Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1987;31:267-273.
- 61. Bruckner DA, and P Colonna. "Nomenclature for Aerobic and Facultative Bacteria." Clin. Infect. Dis. 1993;16;598-605.

WITHHOLD _____ PAGE(S)

PAGE 46 OF 59

Microorganism		MIC (µg/mL)
Escherichia coli	ATCC 25922	1-4
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	ATCC 49226	0.5-2
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 29213	1-4

Interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by agar dilution method using GC agar base with 1% defined growth supplement and incubated in 5% CO21.

APPEARS THIS WAY ON ORIGINAL

Diffusion Techniques:

Quantitative methods that require measurement of zone diameters also provide reproducible estimates of the susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial compounds. One such standardized procedure requires the use of standardized inoculum concentrations. This procedure uses paper disks impregnated with 30-µg cefoxitin to test the susceptibility of microorganisms to cefoxitin.

Reports from the laboratory providing results of the standard single-disk susceptibility test with a 30-40 cefoxitin disk should be interpreted according to the following criteria:

For testing aerobic microorganisms other than Neisseria gonorrhoeae:

Zone Diameter (mm)	Interpretation	面
≥ 18	Susceptible	(S)
15-17	Intermediate	(I)
≤ 14	Resistant	(R)

Staphylococci exhibiting resistance to methicillin/oxacillin, should be reported as also resistant to cefoxitin despite apparent in vitrossusceptibility.

For Lesting, Haemoph lus unfillenzae the interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using Haemophilus Test Medium (HTM)

For testing streptococci the interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using Mueller-Hipton agar with 5% defibulnated sheep blood and incubated in 5% CO.

For testing Neisseria gonorrhoeaed:

Zone Diameter (mm)	,	Interpretation
28 24=27 8 23	٠	Susceptible (S) Intermediate (I) Resistant (R)

: •

PAGE 48 OF 59

d Interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using GC agar base with 1% defined growth supplement and incubated in 5% CO₂².

Interpretation should be as stated above for results using dilution techniques. Interpretation involves correlation of the diameter obtained in the disk test with the MIC for cefoxitin.

As with standardized dilution techniques; diffusion methods require the use of laboratory control m croorganisms that are used to control the technical aspects of the laboratory procedures. For the diffusion technique, the 30-µg cefoxitin disk should provide the following zone diameters in these laboratory test quality control strains:

Microorganism

Zone Diameter (mm)

Escherichia coli	ATCC 25922	23-29
Neisserla gonorrhoeae	ATCC 49226	33-41
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 25923	23-29

Interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using GC agar base with 1% defined growth supplement and incubated in 5% CO.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

Anaerobic Techniques:

For anaerobic bacteria, the susceptibility to cefoxitin as MIC's can be determined by standardized test methods. The MIC values obtained should be interpreted according to the following criteria:

MIC (µg/mL)	Interpretation		
≤ 16	Susceptible	(S)	
32	Intermediate	(I)	
≥ 64	Resistant	(R)	

Interpretation is identical to that stated above for results using dilution techniques.

As with other susceptibility techniques, the use of laboratory control microorganisms is required to control the technical aspects of the laboratory standardized procedures. Standard cefoxitin powder should provide the following MIC values:

Using either an Agar Dilution Method or Using a Broth

<u> Microorganism</u>		MIC (µg/mL)
Bacteroides fragilis Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron	ATCC 25235 ATCC 29741	4
	THE TAX SERVICE WAS ASSESSED. BY THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	

Range applicable only to tests performed using either Brucella blood or Wilkins-Chaldren agar.

Range applicable only to tests performed in the broth formulation of Wilkins-Chalgren agari.

II. REFERENCES subsection

REFERENCES

- 1. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.

 Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests
 for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically Fourth Edition.

 Approved Standard NCCLS Document My-A4, Vol. 17,
 No. 2, NCCLS, Wayne, PA, January, 1997.
- 2. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.

 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk

 Susceptibility Tests Sixth Edition: Approved

 Standard NCCLS Document M2-A6, Vol. 17, No. 1, NCCLS,

 Wayne, PA, January 1997.
- 3. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.
 Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of
 Anaerobic Bacteria Third Edition. Approved Standard
 NCCLS Document M11-A3, Vol 13, No. 26, NCCLS,
 Villanova, PA, December 1993.

The following Reference should beideleted:

4

APPEARS THIS WI

III. CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS ON NDA 50-517/SLR-038 (and NDA 50-581/SLR-027 and AADA 63-182):

From the microbiological perspective, an "approval" letter should be issued to Merck & Co., Inc., Merck Research Laboratories, after negotiation of the revised draft labeling (#7882333, Issued February 1995) on the microbiology portion which includes <u>all</u> the Agency's recommendations on the labeling as indicated in PACKAGE INSERT -- CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY -- Microbiology subsection, Susceptibility Tests subsection, and the REFERENCES section, to read as follows:

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

Microbiology

The bactericidal action of cefoxitin results from inhibition of cell wall synthesis. Cefoxitin has in vitro activity against a wide range of gram-positive and gram-negative organisms. The methoxy group in the 7α position provides cefoxitin with a high degree of stability in the presence of beta-lactamases, both penicillinases and cephalosporinases, of gram-negative bacteria.

Cefoxitin has been shown to be active against most strains of the following microorganisms, both *in vitro* and in clinical infections as described in the **INDICATIONS AND USAGE** section.

Aerobic gram-positive microorganisms

Staphylococcus aureus^a (including penicillinase-producing strains)
Staphylococcus epidermidis^a
Streptococcus agalactiae
Streptococcus pneumoniae

^a Staphylococci resistant to methicillin/oxacillin should be considered resistant to cefoxitin.

Most strains of enterococci, e.g, Enterococcus faecalis, are resistant.

.:'

PAGE 52 OF 59

Aerobic gram-negative microorganisms

Escherichia coli
Haemophilus influenzae
Klebsiella spp. (including K. pneumoniae)
Morganella morganii
Neisseria gonorrhoeae (including penicillinase-producing strains)
Proteus mirabilis
Proteus vulgaris
Providencia spp. (including Providencia rettgeri)

Anaerobic gram-positive microorganisms

Clostridium spp.
Peptococcus niger
Peptostreptococcus spp.

Anaerobic gram-negative microorganisms

Bacteroides distasonis Bacteroides fragilis Bacteroides ovatus Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron Bacteroides spp.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

PAGE 53 OF 59

The following in vitro data are available, but their clinical significance is unknown.

Cefoxitin exhibits in vitro minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC's) of 8 μ g/mL or less for aerobic microorganisms and 16 μ g/mL or less for anaerobic microorganisms against most (\geq 90%) strains of the following microorganisms; however, the safety and effectiveness of cefoxitin in treating clinical infections due to these microorganisms have not been established in adequate and well-controlled clinical trials.

Aerobic gram-negative microorganisms

Eikenella corrodens [non- β -lactamase producers] Klebsiella oxytoca

Anaerobic gram-positive microorganisms

Clostridium perfringens

Anaerobic gram-negative microorganisms

Prevotella bivia (formerly Bacteroides bivius)

Cefoxitin is inactive in vitro against most strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and enterococci and many strains of Enterobacter cloacae.

Susceptibility Tests

Dilution Techniques:

Quantitative methods are used to determine antimicrobial minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC's). These MIC's provide estimates of the susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial compounds. The MIC's should be determined using a standardized procedure. Standardized procedures are based on a dilution method¹ (broth or agar) or equivalent with standardized inoculum concentrations and standardized concentrations of cefoxitin powder. The MIC values should be interpreted according to the following criteria:

For testing aerobic microorganisms^{a,b,c} other than *Neisseria* gonorrhoeae:

MIC (µg/mL)	Interpretation		
≤ 8	Susceptible (S	3)	
16	Intermediate (I)	
≥ 32	Resistant (1	R)	

a Staphylococci exhibiting resistance to methicillin/oxacillin, should be reported as also resistant to cefoxitin despite apparent in vitro susceptibility.

b For testing *Haemophilus influenzae* these interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by broth microdilution method using *Haemophilus* Test Medium (HTM)¹.

^c For testing streptococci these interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by broth microdilution method using cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth with 2 to 5% lysed horse blood¹.

For testing Neisseria gonorrhoeaed:

MIC (µg/mL)	<u>Interpretation</u>
≤ 2	Susceptible (S)
4	<pre>Intermediate (I)</pre>
> 8	Resistant (R)

d Interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by agar dilution method using GC agar base with 1% defined growth supplement and incubated in 5% CO₂¹.

A report of "Susceptible" indicates that the pathogen is likely to be inhibited if the antimicrobial compound in the blood reaches the concentrations usually achievable. report of "Intermediate" indicates that the result should be considered equivocal, and, if the microorganism is not fully susceptible to alternative, clinically feasible drugs, the test should be repeated. This category implies possible clinical applicability in body sites where the drug is physiologically concentrated or in situations where high dosage of drug can be used. This category also provides a buffer zone which prevents small uncontrolled technical factors from causing major discrepancies in interpretation. A report of "Resistant" indicates that the pathogen is not likely to be inhibited if the antimicropial compound in the blood reaches the concentrations usually achievable; other therapy should be selected.

Standardized susceptibility test procedures require the use of laboratory control microorganisms to control the technical aspects of the laboratory procedures. Standard cefoxitin powder should provide the following MIC values:

<u>Microorganism</u>		MIC (µg/mL)
Escherichia coli	ATCC 25922	1-4
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	ATCC 49226	0.5-2
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 29213	1-4

^a Interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by agar dilution method using GC agar base with 1% defined growth supplement and incubated in 5% CO₂¹.

<u>Diffusion Techniques</u>:

Quantitative methods that require measurement of zone diameters also provide reproducible estimates of the susceptibility of bacteria to antimicrobial compounds. One such standardized procedure² requires the use of standardized inoculum concentrations. This procedure uses paper disks impregnated with 30-µg cefoxitin to test the susceptibility of microorganisms to cefoxitin.

Reports from the laboratory providing results of the standard single-disk susceptibility test with a $30-\mu g$ cefoxitin disk should be interpreted according to the following criteria:

For testing aerobic microorganisms^{a,b,c} other than *Neisseria* gonorrhoeae:

Zone Diameter (mm)	Interpretation		
≥ 18	Susceptible	(S)	
15-17	Intermediate	(I)	
≤ 14	Resistant	(R)	

- a Staphylococci exhibiting resistance to methicillin/oxacillin, should be reported as also resistant to cefoxitin despite apparent *in vitro* susceptibility.
- b For testing *Haemophilus influenzae* these interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using *Haemophilus* Test Medium (HTM)¹.
- $^{\rm c}$ For testing streptococci these interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using Mueller-Hinton agar with 5% defibrinated sheep blood and incubated in 5% ${\rm CO_2}^2$.

For testing Neisseria gonorrhoeaed:

Zone Diameter (mm)	Interpretation
≥ 28	Susceptible (S)
24-27	Intermediate (I)
≤ 23	Resistant (R)

PAGE 57 OF 59

^d Interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using GC agar base with 1% defined growth supplement and incubated in 5% CO_2^2 .

Interpretation should be as stated above for results using dilution techniques. Interpretation involves correlation of the diameter obtained in the disk test with the MIC for cefoxitin.

As with standardized dilution techniques, diffusion methods require the use of laboratory control microorganisms that are used to control the technical aspects of the laboratory procedures. For the diffusion technique, the $30-\mu g$ cefoxitin disk should provide the following zone diameters in these laboratory test quality control strains:

<u> Microorganism</u>		<u>Zone Diameter (mm)</u>
Escherichia coli	ATCC 25922	23-29
Neisseria gonorrhoeaeª	ATCC 49226	33-41
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 25923	23-29

^a Interpretative criteria applicable only to tests performed by disk diffusion method using GC agar base with 1% defined growth supplement and incubated in 5% CO₂².

Anaerobic Techniques:

For anaerobic bacteria, the susceptibility to cefoxitin as MIC's can be determined by standardized test methods³. The MIC values obtained should be interpreted according to the following criteria:

MIC (µg/mL)	<u>Interpretation</u>		
≤ 16	Susceptible	(S)	
32	Intermediate	(I)	
≥ 64	Resistant	(R)	

Interpretation is identical to that stated above for results using dilution techniques.

As with other susceptibility techniques, the use of laboratory control microorganisms is required to control the technical aspects of the laboratory standardized procedures. Standard cefoxitin powder should provide the following MIC values:

<u>Using either an Agar Dilution Method^a or Using a Broth^b Microdilution Method:</u>

Microon	rganism			MIC (µg/mL)
Bacteroides	fragilis	ATCC	25285	4-16
Bacteroides	thetaiotaomicron	ATCC	29741	8-32

^{*} Range applicable only to tests performed using either Brucella blood or Wilkins-Chalgren agar.

b Range applicable only to tests performed in the broth formulation of Wilkins-Chalgren agar³.

REFERENCES (Package Insert) subsection II.

REFERENCES

- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria that Grow Aerobically - Fourth Edition. Approved Standard NCCLS Document M7-A4, Vol. 17, No. 2, NCCLS, Wayne, PA, January, 1997.
- 2. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests - Sixth Edition. Approved Standard NCCLS Document M2-A6, Vol. 17, No. 1, NCCLS, Wayne, PA, January 1997.
- 3. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Anaerobic Bacteria - Third Edition. Approved Standard NCCLS Document M11-A3, Vol 13, No. 26, NCCLS, Villanova, PA, December 1993.

Harold V. Silver Clinical Microbiology Reviewer DAIDP/HFD-520

cc: Orig: NDA 50-517

Oriq: NDA 50-581

AADA 63-182

HFD-638

520/DivFile

HFD-520/Micro/HVSilver

HFD-520/TLMO/JSoreth

HFD-520/MO/HHamilton

HFD-520/TLPharm/ROsterberg

HFD-520/Chem/JTimper

HFD-520/ProjMgr/CDeBellas

HFD-520/REV by HVS:10/29/97

Filename: 50517mic.fin

APPROVAL

Concurrence Only:

HFD-520/ActDivDir/GChikami

HFD-520/DepDir/LGavrilovich

HFD-520/TLMicro/ATSheldon

R/D init. by ATSheldon:

D# 1 init 11/4/97, final 12/8/97 ATT

As 12/16/pg